



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,604	01/18/2002	Masakazu Ogasawara	Q68036	4626	
7:	590 05/23/2006		EXAMINER		
Darryl Mexic SUGHRUE MION, PLLC			CHOW, LIXI		
	ania Avenue NW	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Washington, D	C 20037-3213	2627			
			DATE MAILED: 05/23/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)		
10/050,604	OGASAWARA, MASAKAZU	OGASAWARA, MASAKAZU		
Examiner	Art Unit	_		
Lixi Chow	2627			

	LIXI Chow	2027	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence ado	ress
THE REPLY FILED <u>03 May 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.	
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) be with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply	affidavit, or other evider in compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I			
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amore shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing	unt of the fee. The approproriginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ice action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41 37 must	he filed within two montl	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e))	, to avoid dismissal of th	ne appeal. Since
		:	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,  (a) They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see I		ecause
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo			4h - 1 6
<ul><li>(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or</li></ul>	ter form for appeal by materially	reducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally	rejected claims	•
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	oon copenanty named or many	rejected oldims.	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.	21 See attached Notice of Non-	Compliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		Compliant Amendment	(1 10L-32 <del>-1</del> ).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all		te timely filed amondme	ent cancoling the
non-allowable claim(s).			_
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profit The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☒ vided below or appended.	will be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1, 3- 6, 8 and 9</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	t before or on the date of filing a d sufficient reasons why the affi	Notice of Appeal will <u>no</u> davit or other evidence i	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10.  The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims afte	r entry is below or attacl	ned.
11.  The request for reconsideration has been considered bu see continuation sheet.	t does NOT place the application	n in condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Pane	er No(s).	$\cap$
13.  Other:	a	idiea " )ell	e de
	ÁND SUPERVIS	REA WELLINGTON ORY PATENT EXAMI	NER

Note 11: Applicant's arguments filed 5/3/06 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant argues, "Kikuchi's phase device (12) does not teach or suggest an aberration correction element having a plurality of phase adjustment portions, the phase-change amount being adjusted in accordance with the residual aberration after correction by the first aberration correction element as claimed". However, Examiner respectfully disagrees. The phase device (12) does have a plurality of phase adjustment portions (see Figs. 10 and 11). Different amount of voltage are being applied to the areas ARI and ARO to generate a predetermined amount of phase change in the light beam (see col. 8, lines 8-47). Since Kikuchi discloses that the positions of the phase device 12 and the spherical aberration compensations lens 13 can be exchanged, and such exchange will not affect the objective of the apparatus (see col. 15, lines 34-44), it can be concluded that the drive circuit 21 (phase adjuster) corrects a residual aberration after correction by the spherical aberration compensations lens 13.

Applicant also argues that the phase device of Kikuchi is different from the claimed second aberration correction element in both configuration and objective, because the arrangement of Kikuchi provides a phase difference delta that suppresses the interference between the inner light and the outer light. However, Applicant admits that, as a consequence, a high-precision focusing servo can be performed since the focusing error signal FE of high linearity is obtained. It is noted that during the process of providing a high-precision focusing servo, the aberration is corrected. Hence, when the phase device is used to adjust the phase of the light beam in order to provide a high-precision focusing servo, it can be inferred that the phase device is an aberration correction element. Accordingly, claim 1 is not patentable over Kikuchi.

The proposed amendment will be entered, because they place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.